

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,568	VALENTINE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Peter Szekely.

(3) _____.

(2) Todd T. Taylor.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2006

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None.

Claims discussed:
 1, 2, 8 and 10-12

Prior art documents discussed:
 None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Grammatical errors in claims 1, 2 and 10-12 and antecedent basis in claim 8 will be corrected.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)